

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,899	02/05/2004	Steven Ginsberg	PC20008A	5352
75	90 01/11/2006		EXAM	INER
Barry H. Jacob	osen		BUI, LUA	AN KIM
Legal Division Warner-Lambert Company LLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201 Tabor Road			3728	
Morris Plains, NJ 07950			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/772,899	GINSBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luan K. Bui	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	ovember 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
	,	776367 67 1611117 1 6 162.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	"□	(270 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	etion Summary Pa	ort of Paper No./Mail Date 20060108			
	•	•			

Art Unit: 3728

After further reconsideration, the Office Action mailed on 8/26/2005 has been withdrawn in favor of the instant Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niwa (5,613,779) in view of Leung et al. (6,596,298; hereinafter Leung'298) or Robertson (6,516,950) and Baggett (4,811,845). Niwa discloses a package comprising a packet (104, Figure 4) having a pouch portion (on one side of a tear-facilitating means, 5b) that holds a single dose of a personal care product, a tab portion (on an opposite side of the tear-facilitating means, 5a) releasably connected to the pouch portion and the pouch portion having a front edge remote from the tab portion (5b). The front edge of the packet can be gripped to separate the pouch portion from the tab portion. Niwa also discloses the other limitations of the claim except for at least two packets/plurality packets being uniformly stacked in a non-staggered arrangement and the personal care product being a film dosage form.

Leung'298 teaches a single dose of a personal care product is in a film dosage form. Robertson shows a credit card-sized package (10) for holding a single dose of a personal care product in wafer form/film form comprising a tray (12) for holding a medicament wafer and a cover (48) for closing the tray.

Art Unit: 3728

Baggett suggests a plurality of substantially identical packets (10, 12) for holding medication and the packets are uniformly stacked in a non-staggered arrangement (Figure 1). Baggett also suggests a plurality of substantially identical packets (at least two packets 14) for holding medication and the packets are uniformly stacked in a non-staggered arrangement within the packet (12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Leung'298 or Robertson and Baggett to modify the packet of Niwa so the personal care product is in a film dosage form/water-soluble film as taught by Leung'298 or Robertson to reduce the thickness of the packet and for fast dissolving and the packet comprises a plurality of substantially identical packets are uniformly stacked in a non-staggered arrangement as taught by Baggett for better packaging during storage and transportation.

As to claims 23-27 and 31, Niwa discloses the packet comprises two flexible sheets (103a, 103b) laminated together so as to define a closed pocket between the sheets and at least one tear-facilitating means (1-3) to form a tear line. As to claim 26, a minor portion of each pocket (left side of the tear-facilitating means, Figure 4) extends into the packet's boundary zone.

As to claims 28-30, Niwa further fails to show the front edge of each pouch portion comprises second tear-facilitating means, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Niwa as modified so the front edge of each packet includes second tear-facilitating means similar to the tear facilitating means (1-3) to provide more convenience for the user and

Application/Control Number: 10/772,899

Art Unit: 3728

since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

3. Claims 21 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Batchelor (4,889,238) or Romick (3,826,222). Niwa as modified further fails to show a container to receive the stack as recited in claim 33 and the container comprises a tray and a cover as recited in claim 21 and such container is so old and conventional to one having ordinary skill in the packaging art that during storage and/or transportation, a plurality of substantially identical packets are stacked in a container to reduce the cost of storage and/or transportation. Batchelor teaches a container (20) for holding a stack of blister cards (50) comprising a tray (23) and a cover (22) is movably connected to the tray (Figure 10). Romick shows a container (20) for holding a stack of unit-dose packs (25) comprising a tray (base, Figure 2) and a cover is movably connected to the tray (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Batchelor or Romick to modify the package of Niwa so it comprises a container includes a cover connected to a tray for receiving the stack of the packets for better protecting the packets during storage and/or transportation.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Page 4

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 21-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,826. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structural limitations in the claims of the instant patent application are fully disclosed by the patents.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 11/28/2005 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's argument with respect to the phrase "so old and conventional" is noted. It is so old and conventional as indicate above by Batchelor or Romick and to one of ordinary skill that providing a container for holding a stack of items during storage and/or transportation.

Applicant argues that the conbined references do not teach or suggest the movable cover on page 6 of the remarks is noted. This is not persuasive because the movable cover is not required for the rejection of claims 22-32.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,899

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6

Applicant argues with respect to the term "moot" is noted. It is moot because new

rejections were applied due to the amended claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal

papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

January 8, 2006

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728